

## REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final Office Action mailed April 6, 2006. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and pending claims are respectfully requested.

### **I. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)**

Claims 21-40 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Tanaka* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,522,971). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection as to claims 29-30 that remain in the application.

It is axiomatic that “[a]nticipation requires the disclosure in a single prior art reference of *each element* of the claim under consideration.” *W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.*, 721 F.2d 1540, 1554, 220 U.S.P.Q. 303, 313 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (emphasis added). Therefore, every claimed feature of the claimed invention must be represented in the applied reference to constitute a proper rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

In the present case, not every feature of the claimed invention is represented in the *Tanaka* reference. Applicant discusses the *Tanaka* reference and Applicant’s claims in the following.

Regarding the *Tanaka* reference, *Tanaka* at least does not teach receiving via a network a print command and user parameters that are to be used to select a printer, “the print command and user parameters having been provided using a network browser”. Specifically, *Tanaka* says nothing of a print command or user parameters being provided with a “network browser”. Indeed, the *Tanaka* reference does not include the term “browser” at all. Applicant reiterates that, for a proper rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102,

the applied reference must teach *each element* of the claim. Since Tanaka does not teach each element of claim 29, the rejection is improper. In view of that, claim 29 and 30 are allowable over Tanaka and Applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn.


## **II. Canceled Claims**

Claims 1-28 and 31-40 have been canceled from the application without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer. Applicant reserves the right to present these canceled claims, or variants thereof, in continuing applications to be filed subsequently.


### CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

  
David R. Risley  
Registration No. 39,345

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on

5-23-06  
  
Signature